

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/551,369	ABOUKRAT ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	WILLIAM SPIELER	2159	

All Participants:

 (1) WILLIAM SPIELER.

 (2) JOSEPHINE CHANG.

Status of Application: 71

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 17 December 2009
Time: 4:30 PM
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

1

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/William Spieler/

Examiner, Art Unit 2159

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Potential rejections under Section 112 were discussed, and the relation of the tabs, zones and nodes of the claim were discussed in light of Figure 5 of the drawings. Examiner proposed amendments that will be incorporated as part of the Office Action as a suggested way to overcome the rejections which will be made..